

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved
OMB No 0704-0188

AD-A238 498



to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering the collection of information, Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this form to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Avenue, Washington, DC 20540, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188) Washington, DC 20503.

DATE

3. REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED

4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE

Filtering with two sided filtrations

5. FUNDING NUMBERS

DAA-03-87-K-0102

6. AUTHOR(S)

Robert J. Elliott

DTIC

JUL 19 1991

7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)

University of Alberta
Edmonton, AB, Canada T6G 2G18. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION
REPORT NUMBER

9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)

U. S. Army Research Office
P. O. Box 12211
Research Triangle Park, NC 27709-221110. SPONSORING/MONITORING
AGENCY REPORT NUMBER

ARO 24919.14-MA

11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES

The view, opinions and/or findings contained in this report are those of the author(s) and should not be construed as an official Department of the Army position, policy, or decision, unless so designated by other documentation.

12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE

13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words)

The value of a diffusion at an intermediate point is observed through noisy observations on each side. Corresponding semimartingale decompositions and recursive filtering equations are obtained.

91-05512



1. NO. OF PAGES FOR
2. TOTAL
3. DEDUCTIONS
4. ON THE BASIS OF
5. DISTRIBUTION

by
Distribution
Availability Codes
Avail and/or
Dist Special

A-1 20

14. SUBJECT TERMS

Diffusion, signal, observation, filter, filtration, martingale representation, Brownian bridge.

15. NUMBER OF PAGES

16. PRICE CODE

17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION
OF REPORT

UNCLASSIFIED

18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION
OF THIS PAGE

UNCLASSIFIED

19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION
OF ABSTRACT

UNCLASSIFIED

20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT

UL

FILTERING WITH TWO SIDED FILTRATIONS

ROBERT J. ELLIOTT

Department of Statistics and Applied Probability, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada T6G 2G1

Abstract The value of a diffusion at an intermediate point is observed through noisy observations on each side. Corresponding semimartingale decompositions and recursive filtering equations are obtained.

1. INTRODUCTION

Suppose a signal process x_s is observed through a noisy observation process y_s for $s \in [0, 1]$. A situation is considered where the observations y_s are known both for $0 \leq s \leq t < 1/2$, giving a σ -field Y_t , and for $1/2 < 1-t \leq s \leq 1$, giving a σ -field Z_{1-t} , and we wish to estimate $x_{1/2}$ say, or some function $F(x_{1/2})$ of $x_{1/2}$. In mean square the best estimate is

$$E[F(x_{1/2}) | Y_t \vee Z_{1-t}]$$

and a recursive form of this estimate is obtained. Such problems possibly arise in reconstructing images from noisy data if one wishes to estimate the signal at linear location $1/2$ based on observations from 0 to t and $1-t$ to 1 either side. This estimation involves the two σ -fields, Y_t , increasing in the positive t direction, and Z_{1-t} increasing in the negative t direction. When x and y are diffusions the recursive equation for

$$E[F(x_{1/2}) | Y_t \vee Z_{1-t}]$$

is derived below. Detailed calculations can be found in [4].

The construction is related to the decomposition of diffusions with respect to enlarged filtrations, and to Brownian bridges. To

illustrate the methods the decomposition of a Brownian motion with respect to a two-sided filtration is first obtained.

2. BILATERAL BRIDGES

The technique below was first used by Ito [5] to discuss the reverse time decomposition of a Brownian motion.

Convention 2.1. *We shall assume all filtrations are complete and right-continuous.*

Suppose $\{B_t\}$, $0 \leq t \leq 1$ is a standard Brownian motion on (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) . Write

$$F_t = \sigma\{B_s : 0 \leq s \leq t\}$$

$$G_t = \sigma\{B_s : t \leq s \leq 1\}$$

and, (see Convention 2.1), consider the forward and backward filtrations $\{F_t\}$, $\{G_t\}$, $0 \leq t \leq 1$. For $0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{2}$ consider the two-sided filtration $\{F_t \vee G_{1-t}\} = \{H_t\}$.

Lemma 2.2. *For $0 \leq t < \frac{1}{2}$, B is a $\{H_t\}$ semimartingale with a decomposition*

$$B_t = M_t - \int_0^t \frac{B_u - B_{1-u}}{1-2u} du.$$

Here M is a $\{H_t\}$ Brownian motion. Similarly, for $0 \leq t < \frac{1}{2}$

$$\bar{B}_t = B_{1-t} = \bar{M}_t + \int_0^t \frac{B_u - B_{1-u}}{1-2u} du$$

where \bar{M} is a $\{H_t\}$ Brownian motion independent of M .

Proof. Suppose $0 \leq t < \frac{1}{2}$ and $t \leq s \leq 1-t$. Any Markov process is a Markov field, (see the work of Jamison [6] on reciprocal processes). Therefore, by the Markov field (or reciprocal process) property:

$$E[B_s | H_t] = E[B_s | B_t, B_{1-t}] = E[B_s | B_t, B_t - B_{1-t}].$$

The random variables are Gaussian and B_t , $B_t - B_{1-t}$ are independent, so this conditional expectation is a projection and equals

$B_t + \frac{(t-s)}{(1-2t)}(B_t - B_{1-t})$. Consequently, $E[B_{t+h} - B_t \mid H_t] = \frac{-h}{(1-2t)}(B_t - B_{1-t})$. Therefore, $\int_0^{(1/2)-\delta} E|E[B_{t+h} - B_t \mid H_t]| dt = O(h)$ and so from Theorem 2 of Stricker [8], (see Theorem 3.10 below), B is a $\{H_t\}$ quasimartingale with a unique decomposition:

$$B_t = M_t + \int_0^t a_u du;$$

here M is a $\{H_t\}$ martingale. Now

$$\begin{aligned} E[B_{t+h} - B_t \mid H_t] &= E\left[\int_t^{t+h} a_u du \mid H_t\right] \\ &= \frac{-h}{(1-2t)}(B_t - B_{1-t}) \end{aligned}$$

so dividing by $h > 0$ and letting $h \rightarrow 0$ we see $a_t = \frac{-(B_t - B_{1-t})}{(1-2t)}$. Furthermore, because the quadratic variation of bounded variation terms is zero the quadratic variation process

$$\begin{aligned} \langle B \rangle_t - \langle B \rangle_s &= \lim_{|\Pi| \rightarrow 0} \sum_{i=1}^N (B_{t_{i+1}} - B_{t_i})^2 \\ &= \lim_{|\Pi| \rightarrow 0} \sum_{i=1}^N (M_{t_{i+1}} - M_{t_i})^2 = \langle M \rangle_t - \langle M \rangle_s \\ &= t - s, \end{aligned}$$

where the limit in probability is taken over partitions $\Pi = \{s \leq t_0 < t \leq \dots \leq t_N = t\}$ of $[s, t] \subset [0, \frac{1}{2})$ and $|\Pi| = \max |t_{i+1} - t_i|$. Therefore $\{M_t\}$ is a continuous $\{H_t\}$ martingale with $\langle M \rangle_t = t$ and so M is a $\{H_t\}$ Brownian motion.

Similarly $\overline{B}_t = B_{1-t} = \overline{M} + \int_0^t \overline{a}_u du$ where \overline{M} is a $\{H_t\}$ mar-

tingale. In fact

$$\begin{aligned} E[B_{1-t-h} - B_{1-t} | H_t] &= E[B_{1-t-h} - B_{1-t} | B_t, B_t - B_{1-t}] \\ &= B_t + \frac{(2t+h-1)}{(1-2t)}(B_t - B_{1-t}) - B_{1-t} \\ &= \frac{h}{(1-2t)}(B_t - B_{1-t}) = E\left[\int_t^{t+h} \bar{a}_u du | H_t\right]. \end{aligned}$$

As above, $\bar{a}_t = \frac{B_t - B_{1-t}}{(1-2t)}$ and $B_{1-t} = \bar{M}_t + \int_0^t \frac{B_u - B_{1-u}}{1-2u} du$ where \bar{M} is a $\{H_t\}$ Brownian motion.

The random variables M, \bar{M} are Gaussian, so to establish independence it is sufficient to show they are orthogonal.

$$\begin{aligned} E[M_t \bar{M}_t] &= E\left[\left(B_t + \int_0^t \frac{B_u - B_{1-u}}{1-2u} du\right) \left(B_{1-t} - \int_0^t \frac{B_s - B_{1-s}}{1-2s} ds\right)\right] \\ &= t + \int_0^t \frac{t-u}{1-2u} du + \int_0^t \frac{t+u-1}{1-2u} du \\ &\quad - 2E\left[\int_0^t \left(\int_0^u \frac{B_s - B_{1-s}}{1-2s} ds\right) \frac{B_u - B_{1-u}}{1-2u} du\right] \\ &= t + (2t-1) \int_0^t \frac{du}{1-2u} - 2 \int_0^t \left(\int_0^u \frac{1-2u}{1-2s} ds\right) \frac{1}{1-2u} du \\ &= t + (2t-1) \int_0^t \frac{du}{1-2u} - 2 \int_0^t \left(\int_0^u \frac{ds}{1-2s}\right) du \\ &= t + (2t-1) \int_0^t \frac{du}{1-2u} - 2 \int_0^t \frac{(t-s)}{1-2s} ds \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

The Brownian motions M and \bar{M} are, therefore, independent.

3. SEMIMARTINGALE DECOMPOSITIONS

For $0 \leq t \leq 1$ consider an n -dimensional Brownian motion $B = (B^1, \dots, B^n)$ defined on a probability space (Ω, F, P) . Suppose

the functions a^i, g^{ij} belong to $C^\infty(R^d)$ and satisfy growth conditions of the form

$$\sum_{i=1}^d |a^i(x)|^2 + \sum_{i=1}^d \sum_{j=1}^n |g^{ij}(x)|^2 \leq K^2(1 + |x|^2).$$

Consider the associated vector fields

$$A(x) = \sum_{i=1}^d a^i(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}; \quad X_k(x) = \sum_{i=1}^d g^{ik}(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i},$$

for $1 \leq k \leq n$, and a second order operator

$$L(x) = A(x) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^d \left(\sum_{k=1}^n g^{ik}(x) g^{jk}(x) \right) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}. \quad (3.1)$$

Suppose an initial condition x_0 is given which is an R^d -valued random variable independent of $B_t^k - B_s^k$ for $0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1$, $1 \leq k \leq n$, and independent of the observation process, (see 3.3 below).

Signal 3.1. Consider a signal process which is the solution $\{x_t\}$ of the system

$$dx_t = A(x_t)dt + \sum_{k=1}^n X_k(x_t) \circ dB_t^k. \quad (3.2)$$

Here $\circ dB$ denotes the Stratonovich integral. For any C^3 function $\phi: R^d \rightarrow R$

$$\phi(x_t) = \phi(x_0) + \int_0^t A(x_u) \phi(x_u) du + \sum_{k=1}^n \int_0^t X_k(x_u) \phi(x_u) \circ d\tilde{B}_u^k. \quad (3.3)$$

The Ito integral form of (3.3) is

$$\phi(x_t) = \phi(x_0) + \int_0^t L(x_u) \phi(x_u) du + \sum_{k=1}^n \int_0^t X_k(x_u) \phi(x_u) dB_u^k. \quad (3.4)$$

Notation 3.2. For $0 \leq t \leq 1$ write $\{F_t\}$, resp. $\{\hat{F}_t\}$, for the right continuous completion of the filtration generated by $\sigma\{x_s: 0 \leq s \leq t\}$, resp. $\sigma\{x_0, B_v - B_u: 0 \leq u \leq v \leq t\}$.

Similarly, $\{G_t\}$, resp. $\{\widehat{G}_t\}$, will denote the right continuous completion of the (reverse time) filtration generated by $\sigma\{x_s : t \leq s \leq 1\}$, resp. $\sigma\{x_1, B_v - B_u : t \leq u \leq v \leq 1\}$.

If $f(u)$, $0 \leq u \leq 1$, is a $\{G_t\}$ predictable process, continuous in probability and such that $\int_0^1 E[f(u)^2] du < \infty$, the backward Ito integral is defined by Kurita [7] as

$$\int_s^t f(u) d\widehat{B}_u^k = \lim_{|II| \rightarrow 0} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} f(t_{j+1}) (B_{t_{j+1}}^k - B_{t_j}^k).$$

Here $II = \{s = t_0 \leq t_1 \leq \dots \leq t_N = t\}$ is a partition of $[s, t]$ and $|II| = \max |t_{j+1} - t_j|$.

As in Elliott and Anderson [3], a reverse time Ito integral form of (3.2) is

$$x_t = x_1 + \int_1^t \widehat{L}(x_u) du + \sum_{k=1}^d \int_1^t X_k(x_u) d\widehat{B}_u^k \quad (3.5)$$

$$\text{where } \widehat{L}(x) = A(x) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^d \left(\sum_{k=1}^n g^{ik}(x) g^{jk}(x) \right) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}.$$

Observation 3.3. The signal process is not observed directly but via a noisy observation process $\{y_t\}$ where

$$y_t = \int_0^t h(x_u) du + w_t, \quad \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq 1. \quad (3.6)$$

Here $y_t \in R^m$ and $w(w^1, \dots, w^m)$ is an m -dimensional Brownian motion on (Ω, F, P) which is independent of B and x_0 .

Write

$$L^y = \sum_{i=1}^m h^i(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y_i^2}$$

and $\widehat{L}^y = \sum_{i=1}^m h^i(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y_i^2}.$

Then if $\psi : R^m \rightarrow R$ is any C^2 function

$$\psi(y_t) = \psi(0) + \int_0^t L^y \psi(y_u) du + \sum_{i=1}^m \int_0^t \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y_i}(y_u) dw_u^i. \quad (3.7)$$

Notation 3.4. For $0 \leq t \leq 1$ write $\{Y_t\}$, resp. $\{\widehat{Y}_t\}$, for the right continuous complete filtration generated by $\sigma\{y_s : 0 \leq s \leq t\}$, resp. $\sigma\{w_s : 0 \leq s \leq t\}$, and Z_t , resp. \widehat{Z}_t , for the right continuous completion of the filtration generated by $\sigma\{y_s : t \leq s \leq 1\}$, resp. $\{y_1, w_s - w_1 : t \leq s \leq 1\}$.

Remark 3.5. Although $\{y_t\}$ is not a Markov process, $\{x_t, y_t\}$ is Markov.

We shall require the following hypotheses satisfied:

Hypotheses 3.6. Suppose the diffusion $\{x_t, y_t\}$ is such that:

1. For each $t \in [0, 1]$ there is a smooth density $q(t, x, y)$ of (x_t, y_t) .
2. For each $s, t \in [0, 1]$, $s \leq t$, there is a smooth transition density

$$p(x_s, y_s, x_t, y_t, s, t).$$

3. If $\kappa^i(t, x, y) = -\left(\operatorname{div} X_i(x) + I_{q \neq 0} \frac{X_i q(t, x, y)}{q(t, x, y)}\right)$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$,

$$\text{and } \lambda^k(t, x, y) = -I_{q \neq 0} \frac{\partial q}{\partial y_k}(t, x, y) \cdot q(t, x, y)^{-1} \text{ for } 1 \leq k \leq m,$$

then κ^i and $\lambda^k \in L^1([\delta, 1] \times \Omega)$ for any $\delta > 0$.

4. κ^i and $\lambda^k \in L^2_{\text{loc}}([\delta, 1] \times \Omega)$ for any $\delta > 0$.
5. Consider $p(x, y, \xi, \zeta, s, t)$ and write

$$\ell^k(x, y, \xi, \zeta, s, t) = I_{p \neq 0} \frac{\partial p}{\partial y_k} \cdot p^{-1}$$

$$\bar{\ell}^k(x, y, \xi, \zeta, s, t) = I_{p \neq 0} \frac{\partial p}{\partial \zeta_k} \cdot p^{-1}.$$

Then $\ell^k(x_t, y_t, x_{1-t}, y_{1-t}, t, 1-t) \in L^1([0, \frac{1}{2} - \delta] \times \Omega)$ and

$\bar{\ell}^k(x_t, y_t, x_{1-t}, y_{1-t}, t, 1-t) \in L^1([0, \frac{1}{2} - \delta] \times \Omega)$ for any

$\delta \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$, $1 \leq k \leq m$.

From Elliott and Anderson [3] we can quote:

Theorem 3.7. Under Hypotheses 3.6(1), (3) and (4)

$$\{B_t - B_1\} = \{B_t^1 - B_1^1, \dots, B_t^n - B_1^n\}$$

and

$$\{w_t - w_1\} = \{w_t^1 - w_1^1, \dots, w_t^m - w_1^m\}$$

are reverse time $\{\widehat{G}_t \vee \widehat{Z}_t\}$ quasimartingales. In fact, if $\widetilde{B}_t^i = B_t^i - B_1^i - \int_t^1 \kappa^i(u, x_u, y_u) du$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$, and $\widetilde{w}_t^k = w_t^k - w_1^k - \int_t^1 \lambda^k(u, x_u, y_u) du$ for $1 \leq k \leq m$, then $\{\widetilde{B}, \widetilde{w}\}$ is an $n + m$ dimensional $\{\widehat{G}_t \vee \widehat{Z}_t\}$ Brownian motion.

Notation 3.8. Consider now the two-sided σ -fields for $0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{2}$:

$$H_t = F_t \vee G_{1-t} \quad \text{and} \quad \widehat{H}_t = \widehat{F}_t \vee \widehat{G}_{1-t} \quad \text{for the signal } x,$$

$$K_t = Y_t \vee Z_{1-t} \quad \text{and} \quad \widehat{K}_t = \widehat{Y}_t \vee \widehat{Z}_{1-t} \quad \text{for the observation } y, \text{ and}$$

$$H_t \vee K_t, \quad \widehat{H}_t \vee \widehat{K}_t \quad \text{for } (x, y).$$

In a manner similar to [1] and Section 2 we shall now determine the semimartingale decompositions of $\{w_t\}$ and $\{\bar{w}_t\} = \{w_{1-t} - w_1\}$ with respect to the filtration $\{\widehat{H}_t \vee \widehat{K}_t\}$.

Theorem 3.9. Suppose Hypotheses 3.6 are satisfied. Then for $1 \leq k \leq m$

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0+} h^{-1} E[w_{t+h}^k - w_t^k \mid \widehat{H}_t \vee \widehat{K}_t] = \ell^k(x_t, y_t, x_{1-t}, y_{1-t}, t, 1-t) \quad (3.8)$$

weakly in $L^1(\Omega)$.

If $\bar{w}_t = w_{1-t} - w_1$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{h \rightarrow 0+} h^{-1} E[\bar{w}_{t+h}^k - \bar{w}_t^k \mid \widehat{H}_t \vee \widehat{K}_t] \\ &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0+} h^{-1} E[w_{1-t-h}^k - w_{1-t}^k \mid \widehat{H}_t \vee \widehat{K}_t] \\ &= \bar{\ell}^k(x_t, y_t, x_{1-t}, y_{1-t}, t, 1-t) \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

weakly in $L^1(\Omega)$.

Note the right hand sides of (3.8) and (3.9) are $H_t \vee K_t$ measurable.

Proof. The proof uses the reverse time differentiation rule and Stricker's characterization of quasimartingales ([8], Theorem 2). Details can be found in [4].

We then have

Theorem 3.10. Write

$$\ell = (\ell^1, \dots, \ell^m)$$

$$\bar{\ell} = (\bar{\ell}^1, \dots, \bar{\ell}^m).$$

Then $\{w_t\}$ and $\{\bar{w}_t\}$ are $\{\hat{H}_t \vee \hat{K}_t\}$ quasimartingales with decompositions

$$w_t = \beta_t + \int_0^t \ell(x_u, y_u, x_{1-u}, y_{1-u}, u, 1-u) du$$

$$\bar{w}_t = w_{1-t} = \bar{\beta}_t + \int_0^t \bar{\ell}(x_u, y_u, x_{1-u}, y_{1-u}, u, 1-u) du.$$

Here β and $\bar{\beta}$ are independent m -dimensional $\{\hat{H}_t \vee \hat{K}_t\}$ Brownian motions.

4. BILATERAL FILTERING

Notation 4.1. Π will denote the predictable projection with respect to the two-sided complete, right continuous filtration $\{K_t\}$ generated by y_s , $0 \leq s \leq t$, and $1-t \leq s \leq 1$.

The 'forward' part of the observation process is

$$y_t = \int_0^t h(x_u) du + w_t. \quad (4.1)$$

With respect to the filtration $\{\hat{H}_t \vee \hat{K}_t\}$ this can be written

$$y_t = \int_0^t h(x_u) du + \int_0^t \ell(u) du + \beta_t \quad (4.2)$$

where β is an $\{\hat{H}_t \vee \hat{K}_t\}$ Brownian motion. Taking the $\{K_t\}$ projections this can be expressed as

$$y_t = \int_0^t \Pi h(x_u) du + \int_0^t \Pi \ell(u) du + \nu_t. \quad (4.3)$$

Here ν_t is $\{K_t\}$ adapted and

$$\nu_t = \int_0^t (h(x_u) - \Pi h(x_u)) du + \int_0^t (\ell(u) - \Pi \ell(u)) du + \beta_t.$$

Therefore, ν is a continuous $\{K_t\}$ martingale. As in [2] the product rule show that

$$\langle \nu^i, \nu^j \rangle_t = \langle \beta^i, \beta^j \rangle_t = \delta_{ij} t$$

for $1 \leq i, j \leq m$, so ν is a $\{K_t\}$ Brownian motion. Now

$$y_1 = \int_0^1 h(x_u) du + w_1.$$

Consequently the 'reverse time' part of the observation process can be written

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{y}_t &= y_{1-t} = y_1 - \int_{1-t}^1 h(x_u) du + w_{1-t} - w_1 \\ &= y_1 - \int_{1-t}^1 h(x_u) du + \int_0^t \bar{\ell}(u) du + \bar{\beta}_t \\ &= \bar{y}_0 - \int_0^t h(x_{1-u}) du + \int_0^t \bar{\ell}(u) du + \bar{\beta}_t. \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

Taking the $\{K_t\}$ projection this can be written

$$\bar{y}_t = \bar{y}_0 - \int_0^t \Pi h(x_{1-u}) du + \int_0^t \Pi \bar{\ell}(u) du + \bar{\nu}_t \quad (4.5)$$

where, as above, $\bar{\nu}$ is a $\{K_t\}$ Brownian motion independent of ν

We can now derive the bilateral prediction formula:

Theorem 4.2. *Consider the signal and observation processes determined by (3.2) and (3.6), respectively. Suppose F is any real valued C^2 function with compact support defined on R^d . For $0 \leq s \leq \frac{1}{2}$ write*

$$\Lambda_s = E[F(x_{1/2}) \mid H_s \vee K_s].$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi(\Lambda_t) &= E[F(x_{1/2}) \mid K_t] \\ &= \Pi(\Lambda_0) + \int_0^t \left\{ \Pi(\Lambda_u(h(x_u) + \ell(u))) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \Pi(\Lambda_u)(\Pi(h(x_u)) + \Pi(\ell(u))) \right\} d\nu_u \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \left\{ \Pi(\Lambda_u(\bar{\ell}(u) - h(x_{1-u}))) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \Pi(\Lambda_u)(\Pi(h(x_{1-u})) - \Pi(\bar{\ell}(u))) \right\} d\bar{\nu}_u. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. First note that Λ is introduced for notational convenience and because, for example,

$$\begin{aligned}\Pi(\Lambda_t) &= E[F(x_{1/2}) \mid K_t] \\ \Pi(\Lambda_u(\bar{\ell}(u))) &= E[E[F(x_{1/2}) \mid H_u \vee K_u] \bar{\ell}_u \mid K_u] \\ &= E[F(x_{1/2}) \bar{\ell}(u) \mid K_u]\end{aligned}$$

the final equation could be written just in terms of $F(x_{1/2})$.

$$\Lambda_t = E[F(x_{1/2}) \mid H_t \vee K_t] \quad (4.6)$$

is a martingale by definition and $\Pi(\Lambda_t) = E[F(x_{1/2}) \mid K_t]$ is a $\{K_t\}$ martingale. Now Λ is the solution of a prediction or smoothing problem, and as in Theorem 16.22 of [2], Λ_t has a representation as a stochastic integral.

$$\Lambda_t = \Lambda_0 + \int_0^t \alpha_u dB_u + \int_t^1 \bar{\alpha}_u dB_u.$$

The nature of the integrands α , $\bar{\alpha}$ could be investigated. However, this would not contribute to the solution, because what is required is a recursive expression for $\Pi(\Lambda_t)$. Now again, $\Pi(\Lambda_t)$ has a representation as a stochastic integral.

$$\Pi(\Lambda_t) = \Pi(\Lambda_0) + \int_0^t \gamma_u d\nu_u + \int_0^t \bar{\gamma}_u d\bar{\nu}_u. \quad (4.7)$$

We wish to determine the processes γ and $\bar{\gamma}$. Forming the products of Λ with (4.2) and (4.4)

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda_t y_t &= \int_0^t \Lambda_u h(x_u) du + \int_0^t \Lambda_u \ell(u) du \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \Lambda_u d\beta_u + \int_0^t y_u d\Lambda_u + \langle \Lambda, y \rangle_t\end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda_t \bar{y}_t &= \Lambda_0 \bar{y}_0 - \int_0^t \Lambda_u h(x_{1-u}) du + \int_0^t \Lambda_u \bar{\ell}(u) du \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \Lambda_u d\bar{\beta}_u + \int_0^t \bar{y}_u d\Lambda_u + \langle \Lambda, \bar{y} \rangle_t.\end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

However, because $\langle B, y \rangle = 0$, $\langle B, \bar{y} \rangle = 0$, the quadratic variation terms in (4.8) and (4.9) vanish. Taking the $\{K_t\}$ projection of both sides of (4.8)

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi(\Lambda_t y_t) &= \Pi(\Lambda_t) y_t \\ &= \int_0^t \Pi(\Lambda_u)(h(x_u) + \ell(u)) du + M_t \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

where M is a $\{K_t\}$ -martingale. Similarly, taking the $\{K_t\}$ projection of both sides of (4.9):

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi(\Lambda_t \bar{y}_t) &= \Pi(\Lambda_t) \bar{y} = \Pi(\Lambda_0) \bar{y}_0 \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \Pi(\Lambda_u)(\bar{\ell}(u) - h(x_{1-u})) du + \bar{M}_t, \end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

where \bar{M} is a $\{K_t\}$ -martingale. However, if we take the product of $\Pi(\Lambda_t)$, as given by (4.7), and (4.3)

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi(\Lambda_t) y_t &= \int_0^t \Pi(\Lambda_u)(\Pi h(x_u) + \Pi \ell(u)) du \\ &\quad + \int_0^t y_u \gamma_u d\nu_u + \int_0^t y_u \bar{\gamma}_u d\bar{\nu}_u + \int_0^t \gamma_u du + N_t \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

where N is a $\{K_t\}$ martingale. The stochastic integrals with respect to ν and $\bar{\nu}$ are also $\{K_t\}$ martingales. The process $\Pi(\Lambda_t) y_t$ is clearly a special semimartingale, so the decompositions (4.10) and (4.12) must be the same.

Equating the bounded variation terms we have

$$\gamma_t = \Pi(\Lambda_t)(h(x_t) + \ell(t)) - \Pi(\Lambda_t)(\Pi h(x_t) + \Pi \ell(t)). \quad (4.13)$$

Similarly, forming the product of (4.7) and (4.5):

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi(\Lambda_t) \bar{y}_t &= \Pi(\Lambda_0) \bar{y}_0 + \int_0^t \Pi(\Lambda_u)(\Pi \bar{\ell}(u) - \Pi h(x_{1-u})) du \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \bar{y}_u \gamma_u d\nu_u + \int_0^t \bar{y}_u \bar{\gamma}_u d\bar{\nu}_u + \int_0^t \bar{\gamma}_u du + \bar{N}_t. \end{aligned} \quad (4.14)$$

Again, the decompositions (4.11) and (4.14) must be the same, so equating their bounded variation terms we see

$$\tilde{\gamma}_t = \Pi(\Lambda_t(\bar{\ell}(t) - h(x_{1-t}))) + \Pi(\Lambda_t)(\Pi h(x_{1-t}) - \Pi \bar{\ell}(t)). \quad (4.15)$$

Substituting (4.14) and (4.15) into (4.7) the result follows.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported in part by the U.S. Army Research Office under contract DAAL03-87-K-0102 and the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada under grant A-7964.

REFERENCES

1. Al-Hussaini, A.N. and Elliott, R.J. (1987) Enlarged filtrations for diffusions. *Stoch. Processes and their Applications*, **24**, 99-107.
2. Elliott, R.J. (1982) Stochastic Calculus and Applications. In *Applications of Math*, Vol. 18, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York: Springer-Verlag.
3. Elliott, R.J. and Anderson, B.D.O. (1985) Reverse time diffusions. *Stoch. Processes and their Applications*, **19**, 327-339.
4. Elliott, R.J. Bilateral prediction. *I.E.E.E. Trans. Info. Theory*, to appear.
5. Ito, K. (1978) Extension of stochastic integrals. In *Proceedings of International Symposium, Kyoto, 1976*, Kinokuniya, Tokyo, Wiley, New York, 95-109.
6. Jamison, B. (1974) Reciprocal processes. *Zeits für Wahrs.*, **30**, 65-86.
7. Kunita, H. (1982) On backward stochastic differential equations. *Stochastics*, **6**, 293-313.
8. Stricker, C. (1975) Une caractérisation des quasimartingales. In *Sem. de Probabilités IX*. Lecture Notes in Math. 465. Berlin: Springer-Verlag.